

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,084	02/14/2001	Tadashi Ohashi	1341.1080 (JDH)	9826
21171 75	90 07/12/2002			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
700 11TH STR	,		LEE, SEUNG H	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/782,084	OHASHI, TADASHI			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Seung H Lee	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the	correspond nce add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the supplication with the supplication of the	cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the content of the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse.</li> </ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant? 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	oelow);				
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet					
		KARL D. FRECI PRIMARY EXAMIN	1 NER		

## - Continuation She t (PTO-303) 009/782,084



Continuation of 2. NOTE: R claims 1, 2, 6-10: The phrase "....information holding evaluations and/or comments relating to contents....by reviewers" require new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. do s NOT plac the application in condition for allowance because: Cahill et al (US 5,940,844), Mahmood (US 5,091,727), and Linst ad et al (US 5,548,753) still meets the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-10 remains rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection (see Paper NO. 8).